

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,636	02/05/2004	Kunihiro Onoda	01-548	4115	
23400 7	590 01/17/2006		EXAM	INER	
	GROUP, PLC LAKES DRIVE		TAMAI, KARL I		
SUITE 101	LAKES DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RESTON, VA	20191		2834	:	

DATE MAILED: 01/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			١
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/771,636	ONODA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tamai I.E. Karl	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address -	-
eriod for Reply			<b>.</b>
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO litute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
tatus			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	S. July 2005		
·— ·	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ters, prosecution as to the merits	sis
closed in accordance with the practice unde			
isposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are w		1.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
pplication Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner		
	accepted or b)  objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	= ' '		1(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•		
,			
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ian priority under 25 U.S.C.	8 110(a) (d) or (f)	:
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gri priority under 35 U.S.C.	3 113(a)-(u) UI (I).	
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docume	ants have been received		
Certified copies of the priority docume     Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		· ·	
application from the International Bure		Treceived in this Hational Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l		t received.	
oce the attached detailed office assemble as			
tachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	—	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/5/2004</u> .	6) Other:		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claim 1-9 in the reply filed on 7/26/2005 is acknowledged. Claims 10 and 11 are withdrawn from consideration.

# Specification

- 2. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

## **Drawings**

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the movable structure synchronized with an intermittent laser beam by the potential difference movable side comb-tooth electrode and the fixed side comb-tooth irradiation period of the generated between the electrode must be shown or the feature canceled from the claim 7. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate

Art Unit: 2834

prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2834

6. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Behin et al. (Behin)(US 2001/0034938). Behin teaches a laminated electrostatic actuator in a bore having a silicon electrodes layers 202, 204 (or metal) separated by a silicon oxide layers 208, 210 mounted on a silicon substrate 206.

7. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Costello et al. (Costello)(US 6628856). Costello teaches a laminated electrostatic actuator in a bore having a silicon electrodes layers 602 separated by an insulating silicon dioxide layers and mounted on a silicon substrate (figures 19 and 20). The actuator can be used in conjunction with capacitor sensor plates (figure 17) for driving the actuator.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 2834

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 10. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Behin et al. (Behin)(US 2001/0034938) or Costello et al. (Costello)(US 6628856), in further view of Li et al (Li)(US 6643053). Behin and Costello teach every aspect of the invention except the comb electrodes on the torsion spring and the actuator include three or more pairs of comb electrodes. Li teaches four sets of comb actuators mounted on the torsion springs to facilitate programming of the reflected light beam by the actuator. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the motor of Behin or Costello with the combs on the torsion beam of Li to facilitate control of the actuator mirror plate, as taught by Li.
- 11. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Behin et al. (Behin)(US 2001/0034938) or Costello et al. (Costello)(US 6628856), in further view of Miller et al (Miller)(US 6000280). Behin and Costello teach every aspect of the invention except the comb electrodes being parallel to the torsion spring and extending half or more the length of the beam. Miller figure 11 shows the comb actuator 170 electrodes extending parallel to the beam and suggests from the figure that the electrodes are more than half the length of the beam 16 (from the anchor to the cross beams of the rotor arm 12). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 2834

the art at the time of the invention to construct the motor of Behin or Costello with the combs on the actuator of Miller provided enhanced control of moving plate.

- 12. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Behin et al. (Behin)(US 2001/0034938) or Costello et al. (Costello)(US 6628856), in further view of Lee et al. (Lee)(US 5780948). Behin and Costello teach every aspect of the invention except the comb electrodes being different in the vertical direction. Lee teaches the comb electrodes being different lengths in the direction of movement. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the motor of Behin or Costello with the combs of different height because Lee to provide effective stiffness control in the actuator.
- 13. Claims 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Behin et al. (Behin)(US 2001/0034938) or Costello et al. (Costello)(US 6628856), in further view of Jain et al. (Jain)(US 6312134). Behin and Costello teach every aspect of the invention except the movable structure swung in synchronization with the intermitten radiation period of a laser and a laser beam directed at a distant object. Jain teaches an electrostatic micromirror with a controller 7 that synchronizes the mirror with the pulsed laser directed to a stage for a lithograph device. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the motor of Behin or Costello with the movable structure swung in synchronization with the

Art Unit: 2834

intermitten radiation period of a laser and directed at the stage to provide a controllable maskless lithography system, as taught by Jain.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl I.E. Tamai whose telephone number is (571) 272 - 2036.

The examiner can be normally contacted on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Darren Schuberg, can be reached at (571) 272 - 2044. The facsimile number for the Group is (571) 273 - 8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Karl I Tamai PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER January 12, 2006